Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268

905N80002

September 1980



IECHNOLOGY IRANSFER

The Bridge Between Research and Use

Design Manual for Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems Featured at 1980 WPCF Conference

The Technology Transfer Design Manual for Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems will be distributed at the 53rd Annual Conference and Exhibition of the Water Pollution Control Federation (WPCF) in Las Vegas, Nevada, September 28 - October 2, 1980.

Because of the recent population movements to rural areas and poor public acceptance of onsite systems in the past, EPA has developed this new design manual to provide technical guidance on the design, construction and maintenance of onsite treatment systems. The manual was written by personnel from SCS Engineers and Rural Systems Engineering. Contract supervision was provided by the EPA Office of Water Program Operations and the Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory in Cincinnati, Ohio. The manual was published in cooperation with the Center for Environmental Research Information, Cincinnati, Ohio.

This manual covers guidelines for (1) design, including such topics as wastewater characteristics, treatment and disposal methods, and strategy for onsite system design, (2) construction, including a procedure for conducting a site evaluation; and (3) management of onsite systems, including a discussion of theory and types of management.

Several EPA organizations have pooled resources to form an EPA service and exhibit center at this year's WPCF Conference. Specific areas of interest to be featured in EPA exhibits are effluent guidelines, controlled and uncontrolled hazardous waste, groundwater and underground injection, water quality management, construction grants, and the research and development contribution to water pollution control. Individuals will be available at each exhibit to discuss EPA policy and answer questions. We invite you to visit the EPA displays and pick up a copy of the Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems Design Manual, at Booth No 592 To order this Manual, fill out the order form at the back of this Newsletter (#1012) and return it to CERI

Sulfide Precipitation Summary Report for Metal Finishing Industry

The Center for Environmental Research Informaton has published a new Summary Report discussing sulfide precipitation as a wastewater treatment technique for electroplating and other metal finishing operations. The report was developed by the Metals and Inorganic Chemicals Branch, Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio It is the second in a series of control and treatment technology alternatives for the electroplating industry. The first report discusses evaporation as a technique for recovering plating chemicals from wastewater.

The 1977 Clean Water Act requires that metal finishing operations control the oxidation of cyanide, the reduction of hexavalent chromium, the removal of heavy metals, and pH Sulfide precipitation is one of many methods available for removing metals from metal finishing process wastewater.

Metals are commonly removed by adding an alkali, such as hydrated lime or caustic soda, to adjust the pH of the wastewater to the point where metals exhibit minimum solubilities and will therefore precipitate out as metal

hydroxides. In some metal finishing operations, the hydroxide process may exhibit limited removal efficiencies due to solubility characteristics of metals at different pH values and the presence of complexing ions. If this occurs, sulfide precipitation is an alternative to hydroxide precipitation. The high reactivity of sulfides with heavy metal ions and the insolubility of heavy metals to sulfides over a broad pH range are attractive features as compared to hydroxide precipitation. Sulfide precipitation can also achieve low metal solubilities in the presence of complex ions.

Sulfide precipitation can be either soluble or insoluble. In the soluble sulfide precipitation (SSP) process, the sulfide is added as a water-soluble reagent such as sodium sulfide. The insoluble sulfide precipitation (ISP) process adds a slightly soluble ferrous sulfide (FeS) slurry to the wastewater to supply the sulfide ions needed to precipitate the heavy metals.

This report describes the soluble and insoluble sulfide process theory, presents plant evaluations, system descriptions, and performance, and discusses costs and reliability for the treatment systems and components

To order the report, complete the order form at the back of this Newsletter (#8003) and return it to CERI

Addendum to Choosing the Optimum Financial Strategies Publication (Publication 3005)

Since the October 1978 printing of *Choosing Optimum Financial Strategies*, two elements that can have a significant bearing upon the choice of strategy for pollution control investment have changed. Those two elements are the Federal Tax Law, which has been modified, and interest rates, which have sharply increased. An update to the original publication has been prepared to describe these changes and to present a number of examples from

the original publication that have been recalculated to illustrate their effect. Also revised are state financing and tax incentives and user charge/industrial cost recovery systems

Future distribution of the *Optimum Financial Strategies* report will include a copy of the Update To order a copy of the Update only, call or write

Norm Kulujian USEPA—CERI Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513) 684-7394

CERI Initiates New Publication Series for Industry

A new series of reports *Environmental Regulations and Technology*, is being instituted to inform those in specified industries affected by environmental regulations, about the latest developments in legislation and techniques for compliance. The first report for the electroplating industry will be available in October 1980. Reports targeting other industries will be produced after effluent guidelines are promulgated.

The electroplating report entitled, Environmental Regulations and Technology: The Electroplating Industry

EPA-625/10-80-001, provides the electroplating industry with a summary of the laws, regulatory activities, and technologies that can affect electroplaters' decisions for wastewater pollution control and solid waste handling and disposal. The regulations recently promulgated by EPA are presented and water pollution control technologies are discussed. The report also includes information on the current status of sludge disposal regulations, technologies and operating techniques that can reduce sludge disposal costs, and financial assistance available through federally sponsored programs.

A copy of this report can be ordered by completing the form at the back of this Newsletter (#10001), and returning it to CERI

Seminar for Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems

A seminar on Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems, held at the EPA Environmental Research Center in Cincinnati, Ohio, May 20-22, 1980, was attended by 141 persons, including visitors from Canada, England, West Germany and the Netherlands The seminar, sponsored by ORD's Drinking Water Research Division of the Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, was held to discuss the EPA proposal to regulate corrosivity in drinking water Among the topics discussed at the meeting were costs incurred by corrosion and health effects from substances

that may be found in drinking water because of corrosion, regulatory programs to control corrosion, chemistry of corrosion, and both water utility activities and EPA research in support of corrosion control Most of the speakers and audience agreed that even though corrosion problems have existed for decades, much work still needs to be done to understand and control corrosion in water distribution and consumer plumbing systems. The diversity of water quality throughout the United States and the many kinds of materials used in water distribution and consumer plumbing systems make corrosion control an exceedingly complex problem that defies a simple, universally applicable solution.

Water Quality Management Trade-Offs Seminar

A seminar on "Water Quality Managements Trade-Offs — Point Source vs Nonpoint Source Pollutant" was held September 16-17, 1980, at the Pick Congress Hotel in Chicago, Illinois The Center for Environmental Research Information, in cooperation with the USEPA Region V Great Lakes National Program Office, sponsored the seminar

The purpose of the seminar was to evaluate the effect of point and nonpoint source pollution on receiving waters, especially the Great Lakes. In controlling these pollutants, trade-offs can be made which will most economically meet water quality goals. Water quality policy issues for the Great Lakes were discussed, followed by presentations on the various pollutant sources and their effects, load reductions through management practices, and a methodology for integrating point and nonpoint source pollution assessment.

Treatability Manual Published

Since 1979, EPA's Office of Enforcement and Office of Water and Waste Management, with requested help from the Office of Research and Development, have been compiling wastewater treatment performance data into a *Treatability Manual* The first complete edition of the Manual, printed in five volumes, is now available for review at Region Offices and can be purchased from the Government Printing Office. The Manual will be used in developing NPDES permit limitations for facilities which, at the time of permit issuance, were not fully covered by promulgated, industry-specific effluent guidelines authorized under Sections 301, 304, 306, 307, and 501 of the Clean Water Act

The planning group which managed the treatability project was chaired by William Cawley, Deputy Director, Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory-Cincinnati The group includes participants from (1) Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory-Cincinnati, (2) Effluent Guidelines Division, Office of Water and Waste Management, (3) Permits Division, Office of Enforcement, (4) Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory-Cincinnati, (5) Robert S Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory-Ada, (6) Industrial Environmental Research

Laboratory-Research Triangle Park, (7) National Enforcement Investigation Center, Office of Enforcement, (8) Center for Environmental Research Information, (9) Monsanto Research Corporation, (10) Aerospace Corporation, and (11) MATHTECH, Inc

The objectives of the treatability project are

- to provide readily accessible data and information on treatability of industrial and municipal waste streams for use by NPDES permit writers, enforcement personnel, and by industrial or municipal permit holders
- to provide a basis for research planning by identifying gaps in knowledge of the treatability of certain pollutants and wastestreams; and
- to set up a system allowing rapid response to program office requirements for generation of treatability data.

The primary output from this program is a five-volume *Treatability Manual*. The individual volumes are named as follows:

Volume I - Treatability Data Volume II - Industrial Descriptions Volume III - Technologies for Control/Removal of Pollutants

Volume IV - Cost Estimating

Volume V - Summary

Volume I supplies data on the specific compounds listed in the Consolidated Permit Application Form 2C (NPDES) published May 19, 1980. It is intended to provide facsimile reference to physical data on the pollutants, their occurrence patterns, and methods of treatment and/or removal. Pollutants are grouped according to the following chemical categories:

- Metals and Inorganics
- Ethers
- Phthalates
- Nitrogen Compounds
- Phenois
- Aromatics
- Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons
- PCB's and Related Compounds
- Halogenated Hydrocarbons
- Pesticides
- Oxygenated Compounds
- Miscellaneous

Volume II provides generic process descriptions for the industrial categories. The categories not currently included will be added as sufficient information becomes available

The objective of Volume II is to characterize the wastewaters discharged from the above categories on a facilityby-facility basis prior to pretreatment and after treatment. The pollution control methods used with the treated final effluent pollutant concentrations are also provided.

Each industrial category is defined according to the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes of the U S Department of Commerce and by the general industrial description found in current contractor draft development documents and published development documents on each industry. The categories are generally divided into subcategories which are described when sufficient data are available. The total number of facilities in each category discharging an aqueous effluent either directly to a receiving stream or indirectly to a publicly owned treatment works (POTW) is given in an industrial summary table.

Wastewater characteristics are provided for each category/subcategory when sufficient information is available. Subcategory wastewater characteristics are broken into separate processes when sufficient data are

available These descriptions include the complete pollutant analyses available in the references. These analyses generally consist of conventional and classical pollutants, the 129 toxic pollutants, and other miscellaneous pollutants found in the wastewater. The data presented should be assumed screening quality unless specifically labeled verification quality

Plant-specific descriptions are also in this volume. These descriptions generally include a treatment system description, plant production, and wastewater flow. Conventional, classical, and toxic pollutant concentration data, as well as treatment system removal efficiency are presented in site-specific tables.

Volume III presents performance data and related technical information for 56 unit operations used in industrial water pollution control. These operations include 24 sludge treatment and disposal technologies and 32 generic wastewater treatment technologies classified as preliminary, primary, secondary, or tertiary treatment.

Each wastewater or sludge treatment/disposal technology is briefly described, and generalized performance characteristics are given for the preliminary wastewater treatment (conditioning) and sludge processing technologies. However, emphasis is placed on the pollutant removal capabilities of the 28 primary, secondary, and tertiary wastewater treatment technologies. Both concentration and removal efficiency data are given for the following group of pollutants

- conventional pollutants such as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total suspended solids (TSS), pH, oil and grease, and fecal coliform.
- (2) 129 toxic pollutants derived by EPA from the 65 "priority pollutants" listed in a Consent Agreement, Natural Resources Defense Council vs Train, 8 ERC 2120 (D.D.C. 1976);
- (3) compounds selected from the list of substances designated by EPA as hazardous under authority of Section 311 of the Clean Water Act, based on either a consensus of analytical methods or promulgation under authority of Section 204(h) of the Clean Water Act; and
- (4) other nonconventional pollutants of concern in specific industrial wastewaters.

Volume IV presents total capital investment and annual operating cost information for 78 wastewater treatment technologies. The 78 technologies are grouped into the following classifications: wastewater conditioning, primary wastewater treatment, secondary wastewater treatment, tertiary wastewater treatment, sludge treatment, and disposal. A general overview of each technology is followed by discussion of common modifications, typical equipment, and a process flow diagram. A brief discussion

of design criteria is also presented, along with any assumptions used in developing costs for that technology alone. The cost information for each technology is displayed in graphs showing cost in millions of dollars versus wastewater flowrate or pollutant loading, as appropriate All costs are indexed to September 1979, corresponding to an Engineering News Record Index of 3119, unless otherwise noted (Further discussion is found in Appendix A — Economic Assumptions.)

The data presented are generalized, rather than site-specific, and estimates derived solely from it are valid only for comparison purposes. Even these comparisons must be performed with caution because of the possible differences in reliability of performance and cost information from various sources.

Cost data presented have been derived from EPA publications, open literature, construction grant files and from equipment manufacturers' information. Accuracy of the data appears to depend on the frequency of use of a particular process. For example, the costs associated with activated sludge processes or sedimentation with chemical addition appear more reliable than those for reverse osmosis or other processes with few examples of full-scale installation.

Volume V summarizes Volumes I through IV and outlines their potential utility to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit writers. The Treatability Manual, when used in conjunction with other information, will enable permit writers to

- evaluate the potential effectiveness and costs of proposed effluent treatment systems.
- determine the potential cost and feasibility of compliance with discharge limitations under consideration, and
- develop wastewater pollution control and monitoring requirements to be employed at specific sites.

The *Treatability Manual* is availble from the Government Printing Office (GPO). The following information indicates the actions needed when ordering:

- Superintendent of Documents U.S Government Printing Office Department 50 Washington, DC 20402
- Stock Number 055-000-00190-1
- Cost per set: \$47.00 (Volumes I-V)

Workshop on Water Quality Assessment Methodology

A four-day workshop was held at St John's College, Annapolis, Maryland, May 12-15, 1980, on water quality assessment methodology for streams, impoundments and estuaries. The workshop presented techniques that are included in the manual, *Water Quality Assessment: A Screening Method for Nondesignated 208 Areas* (EPA-600/9-77-023)

The screening method is a simplified technique that can be

accomplished with the assistance of a pocket calculator. The methodology is intended to be used with little external data input. Consequently, abundant data are included as tables, figures and appendices.

These techniques were applied to watersheds, streams and estuaries in the Chesapeake Bay area and example problems from that study were presented and worked out by the participants. The workshop was attended by engineers, scientists and planners from federal, state and local governmental units and from consulting engineering firms.

Conference Announcement

The "Conference on Innovation in the Environmental Technology Industry" sponsored by the USEPA's Office of Research and Development, Water Pollution Control Federation (WPCF), Air Pollution Control Association (APCA), and Environmental Industry Council (EIC) will be held November 5-6, 1980, at the Capitol Hilton, 16th & K Streets, NW, Washington, D C The purpose of the conference will be to explore the key factors and issues

influencing the development and marketing of innovative technology in the pollution control industry. Major areas of discussion will be technology assessments, venture capital and financing, impact of regulatory policies, federal patent policy, investment firms perspective, industrial R&D planning and strategy, and foreign technology exchange For further information, write to. Sheri Marshall, Conference Coordinator, Enviro Control, Inc., P.O. Box 827, Rockville, MD 20851

Overland Flow Seminar Held

The National Seminar on Overland Flow Technology for Municipal Wastewater was held in Dallas, Texas, on September 16-18, 1980. Seminar sponsors included the USEPA's Center for Environmental Research Information, the Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory, and the Office of Water Program Operations At the seminar, approximately 200 treatment system planners

and designers received the latest available information on designing and operating overland flow treatment systems for municipal wastewater. In addition, seminar speakers discussed research projects and case histories of operating systems.

Session moderators included: Ancil A. Jones, EPA Region VI; Richard Duty, Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory; and Dick Thomas, EPA Construction Grants Program.

Workshop on Stream Water Quality Modeling

Two-day workshops were held in Annapolis, Maryland, May 6-7, and Chicago, Illinois, May 29-30, 1980, on the use of the stream water quality model — QUAL II. The objectives of the workshops were to present the theory used in the QUAL II Model and to instruct the participants on its use in a comprehensive basin planning/waste load allocation situation.

The workshops were sponsored by the USEPA's Center for Water Quality Modeling, Environmental Research Laboratory, Athens, Georgia, in cooperation with the Center for Environmental Research Information. Additional workshops on use of this model may be presented in the future. For information contact Orville Macomber, USEPA, Center for Environmental Research Information, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, (513) 684-7394.

New Capsule Reports on Restoration of Polluted Lakes

EPA's Clean Lakes Program, Criteria and Standards Division, Washington, D.C., has funded cost-sharing projects under Section 314 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as Amended, to restore freshwater lakes for public use. Capsule reports have been prepared on three lake restoration projects to present the methodologies used in selecting and applying a treatment. Also included in the reports are costs of treatment and the results that were achieved. A variety of treatment methods were used including dredging, addition of alum to control phosphorus,

detention basins to control sediment and fecal coliforms, and source controls such as construction of structures to control farm animal wastes in the lake watershed. The capsule reports are entitled:

Restoration of Medical Lake (Washington)
Restoration of Lake Temescal (California)
Lake Restoration in Cobbossee Watershed (Maine)

These reports can be obtained by filling out the order form at the back of this Newsletter with the appropriate boxes checked #2025 (Medical Lake); #2026 (Lake Temescal); #2027 (Cobbossee), and returning the form to CERI.

EPA Active I/A Program

The Clean Water Act of 1977 and the regulations which implement it encourage the use of innovative and alternative (I/A) technologies as solutions to municipal wastewater and sludge management needs. Special emphasis is given to technologies that conserve or recover energy, reduce total costs, reclaim or reuse water, recycle wastewater constituents, or eliminate surface discharges.

The current I/A technology program officially began on October 1, 1978, and re-oriented the EPA Construction Grants Program to fund a greater number of these I/A technologies. In addition to requirements such as mandatory consideration of I/A solutions in planning future facilities, a number of positive incentives are provided. These include increased federal construction grant assistance for I/A technologies and 100 percent federal grants to correct or replace I/A technology failures. By fiscal year 1981, it is anticipated that one out of four

EPA grant projects will involve I/A technology to some degree. However, many states are presently having difficulty identifying a sufficient number of appropriate I/A technology projects to fully utilize the funds specifically set aside by Congress for this purpose.

In accordance with EPA Administrator Douglas Costle's directive, the Agency has initiated an "active" 1/A technology program in order to encourage greater use of I/A technologies and to generate more I/A projects. EPA staff and organizations dedicated to the active I/A program include Gary R. Lubin, MERL-Cincinnati (513/684-7630) and Robert P. G. Bowker, MERL-Cincinnati (513/684-7620); Curtis Harlan, Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory-Ada, Oklahoma (405/743-2212) This new I/A program effort is a necessary addition to actions which EPA has already undertaken, such as establishment of state and regional I/A coordinators, (see attached list), the development of an I/A Technology Assessment Manual, the presentation of special I/A program seminars across the nation, and the formation of an I/A technology clearinghouse and technical support group to help disseminate information and to assist in review of I/A project applications.

The active I/A technology program is a joint effort of the EPA Construction Grants and Research and Development Programs. The overall thrust of this program is to:

- Identify recently developed "emerging" I/A technologies ready for implementation
- Identify and recommend project sites throughout the country that can potentially benefit from emerging technologies
- Assist local communities and their consulting engineers with assessment and analysis of emerging technologies that may be applicable to their specific wastewater treatment control or management problems
- Provide consulting engineers with detailed planning and engineering assistance on a projectby-project basis.
- Assist regional and state I/A coordinators in developing active I/A projects by reviewing priority project planning information and recommending new technologies to be considered.

A special emphasis of the active I/A technology program is to provide *direct technical and administrative EPA assistance* to municipalities in the actual development of I/A projects at the local level. The EPA is working closely with local and state governments, public participation groups, consultants, and equipment manufacturers in this new effort. Since the successful development of I/A projects depends on the attitudes of these groups, we try to work individually, on a one-to-one basis, to promote cooperation

Two examples of active I/A projects which show promise are located in Montrose, Colorado, and Hanover, New Hampshire The EPA has been working with the city of Montrose and the consulting firm of Roy F. Weston and VTR, Inc. to investigate the application of a vertical tube chemical reactor method of treatment to treat 3 23 mgd of a raw municipal/industrial high strength waste. The Montrose project reached another milestone on July 24, 1980 when a field test using a 1700 ft.-deep test well was conducted in order to verify a laboratory treatability model. Preliminary results from the facility plan indicate that this unique application of deep well chemical oxidation significantly exceeds the innovative technology cost and energy qualifying criteria. This technology also exhibits significant potential for treating municipal sludge while generating energy.

In New Hampshire, the EPA has been working closely with the city of Hanover, the state, and the consulting firms of Hoyle and Tanner and J. I. Associates in the proposed use of an anaerobic expanded-bed fixed-film process to treat 2 mgd of domestic primary effluent. Review of the process indicates significant cost and energy savings over a conventional alternative. In general, anaerobic systems are receiving renewed attention as a cost and energy efficient method of treating domestic wastewaters. The city of Hanover is pursuing a facility planning revision and work on a design report for this process. In a departure from a business as usual approach, the EPA will be one member of a joint design review team and will provide direct aid in the further development of this process as it is undergoing full-scale design. In the implementation of the Montrose and Hanover projects, EPA will also be encouraging sole source procurement and patent exemptions in accordance with recently issued policy directives in these areas. Construction would be initiated under an extended I/A program

Also, as part of the active I/A effort, a number of 40 to 60page emerging technology assessment reports are being completed and distributed in order to disseminate information on recent advances in the field of waste-water treatment. In selected technologies, the emerging technology assessment report describes, the stage of development including pilot, demonstration and full-scale, state-of-the-art; cost and energy benefits; technology gaps that must be filled, and present and potential impact on the industry Assessment reports which have been completed or are near completion include overland flow, vertical tube reactor, anaerobic upflow expanded bed, deep shaft technologies, and solar applications in the treatment of wastewater and sludge. Additional assessment reports will address anaerobic biological nutrient removal processes, aquaculture, wetlands, dual sludge digestion, solvent extraction, sequencing batch reactors, heat pump energy recovery, energy conserving materials and design, air-to-air heat exchange, and hydro and wind energy generation

Future activities of the activ of ten seminars on emergin October, November, and De Philadelphia, Atlanta, Ch	g technology to ecember in Bos icago, Dallas,	o be held during ston, New York, Kansas City,	Steve Veda USEPA, Region II 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10007	264-9596	212/264-9596
Denver, San Francisco, and water Equipment Manusponsoring the seminars agency.	ufacturers A with EPA as	association is a cooperating	James Hagan USEPA, Region III Curtis Building 6th & Walnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106	597-9131	215/597-9131
A similar prototype emerg recently held in Boston in continuous Engineers of New England Confert, the EPA hopes to American Consulting Engineers	ooperation with Due to the initial continue to leers Council i	n the Consulting Il success of this work with the In this and other	Tom Plouff USEPA, Region IV 345 Courland Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30365	257-4015	404/881-4015
areas. A second round of te being planned and will inc case studies	lude energy a	nalysis and I/A	Steven Poloncsik USEPA, Region V 230 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604	353-2314	312/353-2314
In order to find out more about the active I/A program or the I/A program in general, contact one of the federal representatives listed here.			Ancil Jones USEPA, Region VI First International Bldg. 1201 Elm Street	729-2845	214/767-2845
Contact	FTS	Commercial	Dallas, TX 75270		
Lam K. Lim USEPA, WH-547 Washington, DC 20460	426-8976	202/426-8976	Lynn Harrington USEPA, Region VII 324 E. 11th Street	758-2725	816/374-2725
John Smith/Gary Lubin/	684-7611	513/684-7611	Kansas City, MO 64106		
Bob Bowker USEPA, MERL Cincinnati, OH 45268	684-7630 684-7620	513/684-7630 513/684-7620	Stan Smith USEPA, Region VIII 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203	327-2735	303/837-2735
Curtis Harlin Robert S. Kerr Environmenta Research Laboratory P O. Box 1198 Ada, OK 74820	743-2212 al	405/743-2212	Irving Terzich USEPA, Region IX 215 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105	556-8316	415/556-8316
Natalie Taub USEPA, Region I JFK Building Boston, MA 02203	223-5604	617/223-5604	Carl Nadler USEPA, Region X 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101	399-1266	206/442-1266

Where to Get Further Information

In order get details on items appearing in this publication, or any other aspects of the Technology Transfer Program, contact the following individual in your region.

REGION	CHAIRMAN	ADDRESS	REGION	CHAIRMAN	ADDRESS
1	Allyn Richardson	Environmental Protection Agéncy John F Kennedy Federal Building Room 2313 Boston, Massachusetts 02203 617/223-2226 (Maine, N.H., Vt., Mass., R.I., Conn.)	6	Information Center	Environmental Protection Agency Office of Public Awareness 1201 Elm Street First International Building Dallas, Texas 75270 214/767-2697 (Texas, Okla., Ark., La., N Mex.)
2	Robert Bonglovannı	Environmental Protection Agency 26 Federal Plaza, Room 907 New York, New York 10007 212/264-0711 (N Y., N J , P R , V I)	7	Charles Hajinian	Environmental Protection Agency 324 East 11th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106 816/374-2921 (Kansas, Nebr., Jowa, Mo.)
3	Albert Montague	Environmental Protection Agency 6th & Walnut Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 215/597-9856 (Pa , W.Va , Md , Del , D C , Va)	8	Dennis Nelson	Environmental Protection Agency 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80203 303/837-4261 (Colo, Mont., Wyo, Utah, N.D., S.D.)
4	Carolyn Mitchell	Environmental Protection Agency 345 Courtland Street, N E Atlanta, Georgia 30308 404/881-4216 (N C , S C., Ky., Tenn., Ga., Ala , Miss , Fla)	9	Information Center	Environmental Protection Agency 215 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105 415/556-1840 (Calif , Ariz , Nev., Hawaii)
5	Clifford Risley	Environmental Protection Agency 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60604 312/353-3805 (Mich., Wis., Minn., III., Ind., Ohio)	10	John Osborn	Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101 206/442-1296 (Wash., Ore., Idaho, Alaska)

USEPA - ORD Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati, OH 45268 *513/684-7562

^{*}This is a new telephone number.

REQUEST FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER MATERIAL

The publications listed on this form are the only ones available through the Office of Technology Transfer. (Check appropriate boxes)

PROCESS DESIGN MANUALS	MUNICIPAL SEMINAR PUBLICATIONS		
Phosphorus Removal (April 1976) Carbon Adsorption (Oct. 1973) Suspended Solids Removal (Jan. 1975) Upgrading Existing Wastewater Treatment Plants (Oct. 1974) Sulfide Control in Sanitary Sewerage Systems (Oct. 1974) Nitrogen Control (Oct. 1975) Land Treatment of Municipal Wastewater (Oct. 1977) Wastewater Treatment Facilities for Sewered Small Communities (Oct. 1977) Municipal Sludge Landfills (Oct. 1978) Sludge Treatment and Disposal (Oct. 1979) Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems (Oct. 1980)	1007	Upgrading Lagoons	
TECHNICAL CAPSULE REPORTS		Logging Roads and Water Quality 5011	
Color Removal from Kraft Pulping Effluent by Lime Addition First Progress Report Limestone Wet-Scrubbing Test Results at the EPA Alkali Scrubbing Test Facility Pollution Abatement in a Brewing Facility Flue Gas Desulfurization and Sulfuric Acid Production via Magnesia Scrubbing	2002 🗆 . 2004 🗅 . 2006 🗅	Environmental Pollution Control Alternatives Municipal Wastewater 5012	
Second Progress Report Lime/Limestone Wet-Scrubbing Test		HANDBOOKS	
Results at the EPA Alkalı Scrubbing Test Facility Magnesium Carbonate Process for Water Treatment Third Progress Report Lime/Limestone Wet-Scrubbing Test Results at the EPA Alkalı Scrubbing Test Facility First Progress Report Wellman-Lord SO2 Recovery Process — Flue		Monitoring Industrial Wastewater (1973)	
Gas Desulfurization Plant Swirl Device for Regulating and Treating Combined Sever Overflows	2011 🗆	INDUSTRIAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION CONTROL MANUALS	
Fabric Filter Particulate Control on Coal-Fired Utility Boilers Nucla, CO and Sunbury, PA First Progress Report Static Pile Composting of Wastewater Sludge . Efficient Treatment of Small Municipal Flows at Dawson, MN	2013 □ 2014 □ 2015 □	Pulp and Paper Industry — Part 1/Air (Oct 1976) 7001 □ Textile Processing Industry (Oct 1978) 7002 □	
Double Alkalı Flue Gas Desulfurization System Applied at the General Motors Parma, OH Facility	2016 □	SUMMARY REPORTS	
Recovery of Spent Sulfuric Acid from Steel Pickling Operations Fourth Progress Report Forced-Oxidation Test Results at the EPA	. 2017 🗆	Sulfur Oxides Control Technology Series FGD Wellman-Lord Process 8001 Control and Treatment Technology for the Metal Finishing Industry	
Alkalı Scrubbing Test Facility Control of Acidic Air Pollutants by Coated Baghouses Particulate Control by Fabric Filtration on Coal-Fired Industrial Boilers Bahco Flue Gas Desulfurization and Particulate Removal System	2018 🗆 2020 🗀 s 2021 🗆 2022 🗆	Series Evaporators	
First Progress Report Physical Coal Cleaning Demonstration at Homer City, PA	2023 []	EXECUTIVE BRIEFINGS	
Acoustic Monitoring to Determine the Integrity of Hazardous Waste Dams Prestoration of Medical Lake (Washington) Prestoration of Lake Temescal (California) Lake Restoration in Cobbossee Watershed (Maine)	2024 □ 2025 □ 2026 □ 2027 □	Environmental Considerations of Energy — Conserving Industrial Process Changes	
INDUSTRIAL SEMINAR PUBLICATIONS	2027	Agents	
Upgrading Poultry Processing Facilities to Reduce Pollution (3 Vols) Upgrading Meat Packing Facilities to Reduce Pollution (3 Vols) Upgrading Textile Operations to Reduce Pollution (2 Vols)	3001 □ 3003 □ 3004 □	ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY PUBLICATIONS • Environmental Regulations and Technology	
Choosing the Optimum Financial Strategies for Pollution Control Systems. Erosion and Sediment Control — Surface Mining in the	. 3005 🗆	The Electroplating Industry	
Eastern U.S. (2 Vols.). Pollution Abatement in the Fruit and Vegetable Industry (3 Vols.). Choosing Optimum Management Strategies.	. 3006 □ . 3007 □ 3008 □		
Controlling Pollution from the Manufacturing and Coating of Metal Products (3 Vols.)	3009 □ 3010 □		
ATTE	NTION PUBLIC	CATION USERS	
Due to the increasing costs of printing and mailing, it has become n publications. Although these publications will be distributed on a r	necessary to ins	stitute positive management controls over distribution of Technology Transfer ny request for more than five documents total, or for more than one copy of a inization letterhead. In the event your order cannot be filled as requested, you	
If you are not currently on the mailing list for the Technolog	gy Transfer N	lewsletter, do you want to be added? Yes □ No □	
*Name	- ·	• •	
Employer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

United States Environmental Protection Agency Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268 Postage and Fees Paid Environmental Protection Agency EPA 335



Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 Third-Class Bulk Rate